

**NCDA Conference Proposal Rubric**  
**May 14, 2025**

Criterion	0	1	2	3	4
<p><b>1. Goals and objectives are:</b></p> <p>a. clear,  b. realistic and achievable within the scope of the presentation format and time, and  c. successful attainment could be measured / observed.</p> <p><i>Found in:</i>  “Goals and Objectives”</p>	<p>Program goals and learning objectives are <b>not provided</b>.</p> <p>(e.g., content written in this section that is not a goal or learning objective)</p>	<p>Program goals and/or learning objectives are <b>vague / lack clarity</b>.</p> <p>It is difficult to evaluate how realistic, achievable, or measurable the program goals / learning objectives are.</p>	<p>Program goals and/or learning objectives are <b>clear</b>.</p> <p>Yet, the program goals / learning objectives are <b>weak</b>.</p> <p>They are <b>NOT</b> (2) realistic or achievable within the scope of the presentation format and time, <b>NOR</b> are they (3) measurable.</p>	<p>Program goals and/or learning objectives are <b>clear</b>.</p> <p>The program goals / learning outcomes are <b>moderate</b>.</p> <p>They are (2) realistic / achievable <b>OR</b> (3) measurable / observable – but not both.</p>	<p>Program goals and/or learning objectives are <b>clear</b>.</p> <p>The program goals / learning outcomes are <b>strong</b>.</p> <p>They meet all requirements, being (2) realistic and achievable within the scope of the presentation format and time, <b>AND</b> (3) measurable / observable.</p>
<p><b>2. Program description</b> (abstract and program summary) illustrates methods for achieving goals and objectives.</p> <p>Includes, for example:</p> <p>a. Motivation for topic  b. Introduction of goals / objectives  c. Outline of activities  d. Strategies for engaging participants  e. Ways to check learning / comprehension  f. Using a delivery format well-aligned with content</p> <p><i>Found In:</i>  “Program Description”</p>	<p>Program description <b>lacks sufficient detail or depth</b>. May be difficult to follow.</p> <p>Integrates <b>two or fewer</b> of the example methods for illustrating how goals and objectives can be achieved.</p>	<p><b>Fair</b> program description, but there may be some <b>writing errors</b> (grammar, spelling, consistency).</p> <p>Program description integrates <b>three</b> of the example methods for illustrating how goals and objectives can be achieved.</p>	<p><b>Good</b> program description. The <b>writing is good</b>, demonstrating care and thought.</p> <p>Program description integrates <b>three</b> of the example methods for illustrating how goals and objectives can be achieved.</p>	<p><b>Very good</b> program description. <b>Well written</b>, generating interest in the topic.</p> <p>Program description integrates <b>four</b> of the example methods for illustrating how goals and objectives can be achieved.</p>	<p><b>Excellent</b> program description. <b>Very well written</b>, generating enthusiasm for the topic.</p> <p>Program description integrates <b>at least five</b> of the example methods for illustrating how goals and objectives can be achieved</p>

Criterion	0	1	2	3	4
<b>3. Relevance to Conference Theme</b>  <i>Found in:</i> "Relevance to Conference Theme"  <i>May also find evidence in:</i> "Goals and Objectives" "Program Description"	Submission <b>does not connect</b> to the conference theme in any identifiable way.  May be that only the title uses connected words, but the connection is not discussed by the author(s).	The submission has <b>minimal relevance to the conference theme</b> .  Connections are weak or not clearly articulated by the author(s).	The submission shows <b>some relevance</b> to the conference theme but is either not central to it or only loosely connected.  Author(s) may state there is a connection but provide no explanation or support/evidence for how the presentation connects with the theme.	The submission is <b>clearly related</b> to the conference theme.  Author(s) provides some statement of connection but provide minimal explanation or support/evidence for how the presentation connects with the theme.	The submission is <b>fully aligned</b> with the conference theme.  Author(s) provides clear support / evidence and strong applications directly tied to the theme.
<b>4. Content is grounded in evidence, theory, research, or practical experience.</b>  <i>Likely found in:</i> "Goals and Objectives" "Program Description" "Relevance to Conference Theme"	Evidence, theory, research, or practical experience that provides a foundation for this program content is <b>not addressed</b> in the proposal.	Evidence, theory, research, or practical experience that provides a foundation for this program content is <b>mentioned by name only, but lacks connecting description</b> , in the proposal.	Program content is <b>faintly grounded</b> in evidence, theory, research, or practical experience, with <b>some mention of connections</b> made in the proposal	Program content is <b>clearly grounded</b> in evidence, theory, research, or practical experience with <b>consistent and appropriate connections</b> made in the proposal.	Program content is <b>thoroughly grounded</b> in evidence, theory, research, or practical experience with <b>comprehensive and robust connections</b> made in the proposal.
<b>5. Clear connections are made to practical applications in career development.</b>  <i>Likely found in:</i> "Goals and Objectives" "Program Description" "Relevance to Conference Theme"	The connections to practical career development applications are <b>not stated</b> .	The connections to practical career development applications are <b>vague or unclear</b> .	The connections to practical career development applications are <b>clearly stated</b> but coming from an <b>unrelated field OR a poor fit</b> for the proposal topic.	The connections to practical career development applications are <b>clearly stated AND relevant</b> to the proposal topic.	The connections to practical career development applications are <b>clearly stated, relevant</b> to the proposal topic, <b>AND enhance</b> the proposal's story.

**6. What is the best fit conference focus area for this proposal?** *(Select one. Choose the first option if the proposal fits the conference focus area that it was submitted to.)*

- ☐ The proposal is a good fit for the identified conference focus area
- ☐ K-12 Career Counselors and Specialists
- ☐ Counselor Educators and Researchers
- ☐ Higher Education Career Center Counselors and Specialists
- ☐ Career Counselors and Specialists in Business & Industry
- ☐ Career Counselors and Specialists in Government and Public Agencies
- ☐ Career Counselors and Specialists in Private Practice and Consulting
- ☐ Career Counselors and Specialists Working with Special Populations such as Military/Veterans, Corrections, Special Needs, etc.
- ☐ General Audience

**7. Does this appear to be a vendor presentation?**

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Unsure

**8. What is your overall recommendation for this proposal?**

- ☐ Accept as a presentation
- ☐ Accept as a roundtable
- ☐ Accept as a PDI
- ☐ Do not accept
- ☐ Send for secondary review to another focus area (as indicated in “best fit conference focus area” question above)

**9. Notes regarding recommendation:** *(open text response)*

--